Comparison of application parameters using a PWM sprayer
Questions in this study

• What effect does
  • Duty Cycle
  • Boom Height
  • Nozzle Type
  • Tank-Mixture
  • Speed

• Have on spray coverage?
Materials and Methods

- Two duty cycles
  - 40 and 80

- Three boom heights
  - 24, 30, and 36 inches from the ground

- All applications made at 140 L ha$^{-1}$ (15 gal ac$^{-1}$) with 310 kPa (45 psi) pressure
Nozzles used in the study

1. BPDF 11004
2. BPDF 11006
3. MR 11004
4. MR 11006
5. SoftDrop SD 11004
6. SD 11006

Not recommended for PWM Sprayers

7. TADF 11004
8. TADF 11006
Asymmetric Nozzles

ATTACH EVERY OTHER NOZZLE FACING THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION FOR FOUR SPRAY ANGLES

Courtesy of Greenleaf Technologies
# Materials and Methods Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common names</th>
<th>Trade Names</th>
<th>Rates</th>
<th>Adjuvant Addition and Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water + dye</td>
<td>Brilliant Blue Dye</td>
<td>1 g L(^{-1})</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glufosinate + glyphosate + dye</td>
<td>Interline Cornerstone Plus</td>
<td>1 qt ac(^{-1})</td>
<td>Ammonium sulfate 2% v/v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33 fl oz ac(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glufosinate + clethodim + dye</td>
<td>Interline Select Max</td>
<td>1 qt ac(^{-1})</td>
<td>Crop oil concentrate (Agridex) 1 % v/v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 fl oz ac(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Materials and Methods Cont.

- Applications were made at the Black Belt Experiment Station, Brooksville, MS on August 4th, 2020

- Applications were made over photo-paper (Kromekote) and cards were analyzed using Image J for droplet coverage, droplets per cm² and average stain size
Each Nozzle by Boom Height by Duty Cycle was sprayed over two sets of 3 ground collectors and two sets of vertical collectors with cards facing toward the ground collectors and cards facing away from the collectors at three heights from the ground 4.5, 8.5, and 14 inches = 2,592 total collectors!!
Boom height effect on coverage for both ground and vertical collectors

- **Ground Card Coverage**: LSD = 0.43
- **Vertical Card Coverage**: LSD = 0.98

### Boom Height Effect

- **26.8**% Coverage at Boom Height 24
- **23.7**% Coverage at Boom Height 30
- **22.3**% Coverage at Boom Height 36

### Duty Cycle Effect

- **23.2**% Coverage at Duty Cycle 40
- **25.3**% Coverage at Duty Cycle 80

- **Ground Card Coverage**: LSD = 0.46
- **Vertical Card Coverage**: LSD = 1.03
Results

• Boom height affected coverage on both ground and vertical collectors
  • The lower the boom height, the higher the coverage across nozzle, duty cycle, tank-mixture

• The lower duty cycle reduced coverage compared to the higher duty cycle – even at a slower driving speed
Nozzle coverage by collector type across nozzle flow rate

Vertical card coverage from nozzle by orientation
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Coverage %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle Type</th>
<th>BPDF</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TADF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a | c | c | b

LSD = 0.43

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle Type</th>
<th>BPDF</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TADF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD = 0.98

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle Type</th>
<th>BPDF</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TADF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD = 1.34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle Type</th>
<th>BPDF</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TADF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD = 0.43
Dual fan nozzle vs. single fan nozzle
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Preliminary discussion

• A lot of data left to sift through, but some key take-aways:
  • Lower boom height \( \uparrow \) coverage \( \downarrow \) chance for drift
  • Dual fan nozzles provide more buffer on coverage
  • TADF – though not recommended for PWM sprayers, did not perform badly in this study
  • If using PWM system, apply as to stay in the > 50% duty cycle regions